BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking Concerning Energy
Efficiency Rolling Portfolios, Policies, Programs,
Evaluation, and Related Issues.

R.13-11-005
(Filed November 14, 2013)

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY’S (U 338-E) OPENING COMMENTS
TO ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE’S RULING QUESTIONS ON MARKET
TRANSFORMATION STAFF PROPOSAL

ANNA VALDBERG
R. OLIVIA SAMAD
Attorney for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California  91770
Telephone:  (626) 302-3477
Facsimile:  (626) 302-1910
E-mail:Olivia.Samad@sce.com

Dated:  October 5, 2018
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I.</td>
<td>INTRODUCTION</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.</td>
<td>DISCUSSION</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>Additional Workshops are Needed Prior to a Decision</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.</td>
<td>The Commission Should Adopt a Structured Coordination Process to Construct Initial MT Development Plan Proposals</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.</td>
<td>MT Cost-Effectiveness Guidance Warrants Further Examination</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>A threshold of 1.5 may not increase the likelihood of achieving cost-effectiveness</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Cost-effectiveness should not determine if an MTI should be terminated</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.</td>
<td>The Commission Should Reconsider the “Firewall” between Codes &amp; Standards and MTIs</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.</td>
<td>Energy Division Should Allow PAs the Flexibility to Consider all Innovative Pathways to Cost-Effective and Expedient Savings</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.</td>
<td>Responses to Sixteen Questions Posed in Proposal</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.</td>
<td>CONCLUSION</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY’S (U 338-E) OPENING COMMENTS TO ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE’S RULING QUESTIONS ON MARKET TRANSFORMATION STAFF PROPOSAL

I. INTRODUCTION

Southern California Edison (SCE) appreciates the opportunity to comment on the Administrative Law Judge’s Ruling Seeking Comment on Market Transformation Staff Proposal and the attached Energy Efficiency Market Transformation: A Staff Proposal ("Proposal"). The Proposal presents significant progress toward a framework that would advance market transformation (MT) in energy efficiency in California and beyond. While the Proposal is an important first step, before the Commission can issue a final decision adopting an MT framework, the long-term nature of MT necessitates a comprehensive and inclusive discussion of the issues SCE highlights herein. SCE recommends that the Commission allow for additional workshops so that stakeholders can clarify the issues necessary for a more robust and successful MT framework. In these comments, SCE identifies specific elements of the MT proposal that need further examination and provides its responses to the 16 questions contained in the ALJ Ruling.
II. DISCUSSION

A. Additional Workshops are Needed Prior to a Decision

The Proposal contains many important elements that will lead to a successful MT framework, including allowing MT initiative development and implementation to be funded apart from the Rolling Portfolio, and considering a longer time horizon for cost-effectiveness assessment. Some issues in the Proposal, however, warrant further examination, through additional workshops with stakeholders. These issues include the items discussed in sections B-E below, as well as including value streams that are not currently captured in cost-effectiveness testing, leveraging existing organizational infrastructure to streamline administrative activities, detailing the mechanics of how Market Transformation Initiatives (MTIs) can be integrated into the Rolling Portfolio, determining a mechanism to request additional budget for ongoing successful MTIs, and refining savings attribution issues such as net-to-gross and market effects. SCE recommends taking additional time to comprehensively consider these issues early in the process to minimize ambiguities and collaboratively develop a more robust framework that can be implemented more quickly to roll out successful MTIs.

B. The Commission Should Adopt a Structured Coordination Process to Construct Initial MT Development Plan Proposals

SCE appreciates the opportunities already contained in the Proposal for stakeholder input (i.e., two workshops discussed in Section 5 “Procedural Approach”). SCE recommends that the Commission adopt a more structured approach to stakeholder input to construct the initial MT Development Plans prior to formal review via advice letter. This process will allow for market actors with MT subject matter expertise to work through complex issues such as coordinating MTIs with Resource Acquisition (RA) programs, and avoiding duplication of target activities and/or market interventions.
SCE recommends this process include several steps. First, utility Program Administrators (PAs), in conjunction with key stakeholders, will identify three to five high potential MT development opportunities. Second, PAs and key stakeholders will identify the key objectives for the potential MT interventions and their desired end states (e.g. adoption into code). This step will also include developing potential milestones and key leading indicators to align with these objectives, and customizing the timing of milestones to the target market’s normal replacement cycle. Third, for each potential intervention, PAs, with stakeholder input, will identify the data and/or studies necessary to develop a forecast baseline to understand market conditions and barriers, develop savings claims, inform entry and exit strategies, and advise coordination with market actors. Fourth, PAs will develop draft MT Development Plans for the most promising market interventions, including, where applicable, how the MTI will work in collaboration with existing Emerging Technology, resource acquisition, and Codes & Standards programs. PAs will then present their draft MT Development Plans as discussed in Step 2 of Section 5 in the Proposal. Fifth, each PA will present their draft MT Development Plan proposal to the Initiative Review Committee (IRC) for feedback and a vote on which MTIs should move forward. Sixth, PAs will file Tier 1 advice letters for formal approval of MT Development Plans. Following approval, PAs will continue to consult with stakeholders during Accord development, including a formal review by the IRC of the draft Accord.

SCE also recommends that a stage-gate approach be used to implement MTIs after Accords are approved by the Commission. The stage-gate process is widely used by industry to limit exposure to continuing to invest in failed ventures, while ensuring market agility, as advancing to a new stage depends upon the successful completion of its predecessor.

This process will help manage the risks and rewards of long-lived and uncertain MTIs as it allows MTI implementers to make faster responses to unanticipated market conditions.

---

1 As clarified by Energy Division Staff during the September 25, 2018 workshop, “PA” in the Proposal refers to investor-owned utilities (IOUs).
2 SCE recommends there be a single IRC for all MTIs to streamline administration.
Milestones can be set based on the objectives for each stage. The stage-gate approach helps manage risk for both ratepayers and implementers, by giving implementers credit for successful activity and interim outcomes, and providing opportunities to assess and cancel MTIs that are not meeting milestones.

C. MT Cost-Effectiveness Guidance Warrants Further Examination

1. **A threshold of 1.5 may not increase the likelihood of achieving cost-effectiveness**

   The Proposal states that “Longer-term forecasts are inherently more uncertain, and for this reason staff suggests an increase in the required value to 1.50.”\(^3\) SCE agrees that a MTI should be cost-effective over its life after accounting for all savings. However, while the specific ruleset governing cost-effectiveness for MTIs should be further discussed, the threshold for a successful MTI should not be as high as 1.5. Generally EE cost effectiveness is judged based on a program year and must be forecasted to be 1.00, and going up to 1.25 in 2023. While a longer-term forecast may be more uncertain than a short-term forecast, all else being equal, raising the cost-effectiveness threshold is not likely to reduce uncertainty or make it more likely that an MTI will achieve cost-effectiveness. Such a threshold could stymie innovative and potentially effective ideas with a forecasted future cost-effectiveness of less than 1.5. Further, the threshold of 1.5 may create an additional barrier to an already challenging marketplace for energy efficiency, without necessarily increasing long-term certainty of cost effectiveness.

2. **Cost-effectiveness should not determine if an MTI should be terminated**

   Cost-effectiveness should not be the sole determinant of whether to terminate a MTI.\(^4\) Cost-effectiveness can be achieved without a market being transformed, and conversely a market can be transformed by the end of an MTI without the initiative appearing cost-effective in early years while foundational work is being accomplished. Stakeholders should discuss, and

---

\(^3\) Proposal, p. 26. (Because the Proposal is unnumbered, SCE refers to the page numbering of the PDF).

\(^4\) *Id.*, pp. 13, 18 (PDF).
ultimately PAs should propose through Accords in their advice letters, a set of milestones that can be used to track progress towards the desired market state. Cost-effectiveness should be included as a metric to track, but not solely used to determine the fate of a MTI, especially in the early years of an initiative when savings may be low or uncertain. A suite of milestones should be used to judge if a MTI should be terminated.

D. **The Commission Should Reconsider the “Firewall” between Codes & Standards and MTIs**

The existing definition of MT in California recognizes the completion of MT when: 1) incentives are no longer needed for the particular measure or intervention or, 2) when the technology is successfully adopted into code. Given the likelihood that successful MT activities may result in code adoption, the costs and benefits of these code savings should be shared with the MTI which contributed to those outcomes. Using a “firewall” between Codes & Standards (C&S) and traditional RA programs has long been a protection to limit the risk of C&S programs being used as a buffer for cost-inefficient RA programs. This “firewall” is used for RA programs and C&S programs in California today but should be reconsidered for MTIs that can demonstrate contributions to C&S adoption.

A “firewall” that separates C&S and MT creates a coordination and cost-effectiveness barrier. This separation creates a coordination barrier because C&S activities would take place external to an otherwise integrated MTI. It creates a cost-effectiveness barrier because the long-term MT savings would be allocated to the C&S programs, while only the more nominal and shorter-term milestone benefits would be recognized by the MT initiative. As energy savings and

---

“Market transformation is long-lasting, sustainable changes in the structure or functioning of a market achieved by reducing barriers to the adoption of energy efficiency measures to the point where continuation of the same publicly-funded intervention is no longer appropriate in that specific market. Market transformation includes promoting one set of efficient technologies, processes or building design approaches until they are adopted into codes and standards (or otherwise substantially adopted by the market), while also moving forward to bring the next generation of even more efficient technologies, processes or design solutions to the market.” See D.09-09-047, pp. 88-89.
market share adoption as targeted through a MTI will likely be lower in the early years of an intervention, the majority of savings will occur in the latter years, with the largest payoff potentially occurring at the point of adoption into C&S, without credit to the MTI. This accounting firewall could cause an MTI with positive net benefits to be excluded from the portfolio because it incorrectly appeared not to be cost effective.

Firewalls between C&S and other MT programs do not exist with other successful MT administrators such as the Northwest Energy Efficiency Alliance (NEEA). According to NEEA’s presentation at the September 25, 2018 Market Transformation workshop, NEEA recognizes the sum of all societal benefits associated with Emerging Technologies, RA programs and C&S programs. These total benefits are assessed against the costs of all programs associated with the MT outcome. In this manner, there are no artificially created barriers between MT program elements, enabling a holistic market approach. In California, similar coordination already occurs across Emerging Technologies, RA, and C&S programs, however, savings are siloed among individual programs, and costs and benefits are not harmonized across all related MT activities.

E. **Energy Division Should Allow PAs the Flexibility to Consider all Innovative Pathways to Cost-Effective and Expedient Savings**

As written, the Proposal asks PAs to demonstrate coordination with RA programs and would require that PAs not initiate new resource programs that would directly compete or interfere with an MTI. This requirement could be a significant impediment to future innovative program designs that may offer faster and/or more cost-effective methods to remove the same barriers being addressed by an MTI. Coordination between MTIs and traditional RA incentive programs should result in a portfolio of programs that removes barriers as quickly and cost-effectively as possible. If a new RA program offers a more direct path to that objective, PAs should maintain the flexibility to consider those options.

---

² *See Proposal, p. 23 (PDF).*
The Staff Proposal acknowledges that resource programs can also produce market transformations. California PAs have a record of success with implementing incentive programs and C&S. There is less assurance of savings with MTIs: Prahl & Keating (2011) spoke bluntly when they cautioned that “Transforming markets can be hard—it may not work”. Thus, diversification of risk across program types can help to achieve cost effective savings.

F. Responses to Sixteen Questions Posed in Proposal

1. What are the best characteristics of the market transformation framework in the Staff Proposal? What attributes are the most valuable and should be retained?

SCE appreciates the following attributes of the Staff Proposal to support development of a MT framework:

- Recognition of the complementary nature of MT initiatives and RA programs, through which the utilities have achieved market transformation
- Recognition of the need to address the longer-term time horizon for payback on MT initiatives, as well as the need for a separate cost-effective treatment and an incentive mechanism to encourage development and implementation of MT initiatives.
- Acknowledgement that the development of MTIs requires time, including additional research to vet technologies, collect market information, and pilot test MTIs.
- Funding MTI development and implementation apart from the Rolling Portfolio. As all the IOUs ramp up to the goal of 60% third party designed and implemented programs, setting aside MTI development and implementation allows IOUs to take a lead role in MTI program design and implementation, at least in the near term. This

---

recognizes that MTI design and implementation requires intricate coordination across multiple program areas, which is a role IOUs are well-positioned to perform.

- Acknowledgement that detailed discussions among stakeholders about the appropriate baselines will pay off with less ambiguity about MTI achievements. This will lead to less contention overall at later dates.

- Acknowledgement that savings above a baseline forecast are to be considered net savings attributable to the MTI. This allows PAs the freedom to enlist non-utility market actors to work towards the same market objectives, without concern about attribution of influence from individual market actors.

- Giving the PAs the opportunity to propose MTI objectives, EM&V and cost-effectiveness tests through the Advice Letter process. This allows PAs to propose EM&V and cost-effectiveness approaches that are best suited to the proposed MTI.

2. *Do you agree with the staff recommendation to begin the development of market transformation initiatives initially separately from the business plan portfolios? Why or why not?*

   Yes, MTIs should be approached separately from the Rolling Portfolio due to their inherent differences in upfront barriers, risks, payback time periods for savings and the need for separate cost-effectiveness treatments. However, MTIs should be viewed in conjunction with RA programs, especially if similar EE markets are targeted. Ideally, MTIs would target EE market opportunities distinct from existing business plan portfolios to avoid overlap.

3. *Do you agree with the budget limit of $12 million per PA for operations outside of the business plan portfolios suggested in the Staff Proposal? Why or why not?*

   Budgets for MTIs should not be capped at $12M. While $12M may be sufficient funding to transform a market, flexibility should be incorporated into the framework so PAs can request additional funding for an existing MTI within the MT framework. PAs should be able to request additional funding for an ongoing MTI if agreed-to milestones
point to a successful initiative that, through additional funding, could successfully transform the market.

4. Should there be a limit to the time period for how long market transformation initiatives may operate outside of the business plans before being integrated with the overall portfolio? If so, what is your proposed time limit? Explain your rationale.

   No. Each MTI will be unique in nature with several uncertain variables affecting if and when the initiative should be integrated with the portfolio. A required set time period for portfolio integration will likely not align with these unique interventions.

5. Do you support the Staff Proposal elements with respect to cost-effectiveness? Why or why not? Describe in detail any changes you would suggest.

   As noted in the Proposal, cost-effectiveness of MTIs should be addressed using different parameters, and on a different schedule than the rest of the energy efficiency portfolio. Raising the cost-effectiveness threshold will not reduce uncertainty or make it more likely that an MTI will achieve cost-effectiveness. Cost-effectiveness can be achieved without a market being transformed, and conversely a market can be transformed without it becoming cost-effective. Stakeholders should discuss, and ultimately PAs should propose through Accords in advice letters, a set of milestones that can be used to track progress towards the desired market state. Cost-effectiveness should be included as a metric to track, but not as the sole milestone for determining the fate of a MTI. PAs should propose a suite of milestones to be used to judge if a MTI should be terminated.

6. Do you support the concept identified in the Staff Proposal for Market Transformation Accords? Why or why not? Describe in detail your suggested improvements.

   SCE generally supports the structured format of the two-phase initiative development and Accord process for short and long-term planning coordination with RA programs with the enhancements that SCE has proposed herein; however, the oversight
structure should be streamlined by having a single Initiative Review Committee, for example.

7. The Staff Proposal includes an allocation of funding for market transformation planning efforts. In some cases, such planning efforts may fail to produce a workable Market Transformation Accord. Should spending on such planning efforts be subject to separate budget caps or time limitations? If so, what should those limits be and why?

MT planning efforts should not be subject to separate pre-determined budget caps or timeline limitations because the scope and complexity of Market Transformation Accords will likely vary on a case by case basis. However, preliminary budget and timelines should be included in each MT Initiative Development Plan.

8. Do you agree with the Staff Proposal’s recommendations with respect to the interaction with statewide and third-party program requirements in the business plan portfolios? Why or why not?

SCE generally agrees with the Staff Proposal’s recommendations for statewide and third-party program requirements in the business plan proposals. However, SCE recommends that the upfront cost-sharing agreement be revisited at key intervals (e.g., 3-5 years into the MTIs development or when key changes are made to the Market Transformation Accords) rather than remaining static over the lifecycle of the initiative. There may be cases in which PAs enter or exit the energy efficiency market, necessitating reallocation of the assigned PA budgets. SCE also recommends that a transparent process be developed for agreement and coordination of the MTIs that include third-parties and other key stakeholders, rather than allowing the one lead IOU-PA to have “final decision-making authority” during statewide MTIs. SCE also welcomes the participation of third-party MT designs and implementation but recommends that the third-party and statewide administration guidelines formally apply when MTIs are transitioned to the Rolling Portfolio.
9. **Do you support the Staff Proposal recommendation for how to conduct evaluation, measurement, and verification on market transformation initiatives? Why or why not?**

   SCE supports the Proposal in considering all saving above the forecast baseline as net to the MTI. This acknowledges that if MTIs are to succeed, they must be promoted by multiple market actors; attribution to individual market actors or programs would not be possible. SCE also supports the proposal to include EM&V details in the advice letter so each evaluation can be tuned to the specific market progress indicators and milestones an MTI would affect. However, the Proposal is unclear in its references to the “forecast baseline” and the requirement to update the “forecast baseline” annually. NEEA has confirmed to SCE that NEEA does not update their baselines annually. Rather, NEEA uses the same baseline, but updates the savings forecast annually. In addition, NEEA has offered to share their reasons for not using Delphi panels to arrive at a consensus baseline, cautioning that other methods have been more successful for them. SCE recommends that no firm requirements be made on the methods for achieving a consensus on a forecast baseline.

10. **Comment on the Staff Proposal’s discussion of milestone based performance assessments.**

    SCE generally agrees that a schedule of milestones should be set in place to indicate progress toward the desired end state of the MTI. Given the unique nature of each initiative, these milestones should be defined upfront and built into the Accord. Further, while cost-effectiveness should be included as a metric to track, it should not serve as the sole metric for determining if a MTI should be terminated, as multiple MT indicators could be used to determine progress (e.g., penetration rate, savings, etc.). SCE recommends deferring the determination of specific milestones to future stakeholder discussions.
11. Do you support the Staff Proposal’s recommendations for the administrative aspects of management of the Initiatives, particularly in Section 5 on procedural approach? Why or why not?

In part. As discussed above, there are areas requiring further collaboration and vetting that will be critical to address prior to issuing a final MT framework. The long-term nature of MT necessitates a comprehensive and inclusive discussion of the issues highlighted in these comments prior to framework finalization. SCE recommends an additional workshop for stakeholders to discuss issues requiring clarification or reexamination.

12. Are there other ways (besides those represented in the Staff Proposal) to engage and leverage stakeholder expertise effectively? If so, describe them.

Yes. Stakeholders should be afforded the opportunity to discuss potential PA-proposed MT initiatives early in the planning process. In addition, as discussed above, the engagement outlined in the Proposal should be streamlined. SCE recommends there be only one Initiative Review Committee to vet all MTIs. One Committee would then have insight into all statewide MTIs, rendering it more effective as a review body. In addition, existing forums for stakeholder input, such as the California Energy Efficiency Coordinating Committee (CAEECC) or the California Technical Forum (CALTF) (or sub-sets thereof) should be appropriate forums for briefing shareholders and reporting out on MTI progress as needed.

13. Are there characteristics of market transformation initiatives that are not sufficiently embodied in the framework described in the Staff Proposal? If so, describe them and suggest ways these characteristics can be integrated into the framework or requirements.

SCE urges the Commission to establish an additional (or multiple, if warranted) workshops for stakeholders to vet issues requiring clarification or reexamination prior to the finalization of the MT framework. Overall, wherever possible the Staff Proposal
should relate to recommendations made in previous Commission Staff whitepapers, such as those by Prahl & Keating in 2014.\textsuperscript{8}

14. Are there elements in the Staff Proposal that are missing or unclear? Describe.

SCE recommends more discussion in a future workshop around the coordination between RA and MT programs, and on the process to integrate MT programs into the Rolling Portfolio. In addition, the following items require clarification:

- “Definition of Market Transformation” - The Staff Proposal does not clarify whether it is proposing any changes to the existing definition of market transformation. In the current definition, codes and standards are desired results of market transformation, suggesting that all costs and all benefits resulting from MTI-driven codes and standards should count towards the MTI’s cost effectiveness calculation.

- “Forecast baselines” - The Proposal’s use of this term is confusing because NEEA does not update their baselines annually. It may be clearer to use the terms “market baselines” that are established through research and stakeholder discussing during the MTI development period, and to refer to annual updates of “savings forecasts”.

- “Initial project baseline” – An initial project baseline, as described in Section 4.2 “Content Guidance for Market Transformation Accords”, should be moved to Section 4.1 “Market Transformation Development Plan Content” because cost-effectiveness projections in the MT Accord will need that initial project baseline information.

15. Ultimately, do you recommend that the Commission adopt this framework, or a version of the framework with your suggested modifications described in answers to the above questions? Why or why not?

SCE recommends that the Commission adopt the framework with the additions discussed in these comments, and with the enhancements resulting from further stakeholder discussions via workshops.

16. Include any other comments or recommendations not covered by the above questions.

SCE derived value during the MT Workshop when knowledgeable participants were able to share experiences and perspectives. SCE looks forward to advancing the state of knowledge about market transformation with further discussions and workshops.

III. CONCLUSION

SCE appreciates the opportunity to provide to comments on the Proposal and looks forward to further collaboration with the Commission and stakeholders to develop a successful MT framework.
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3298 GOVERNOR DRIVE, STE. 22496
SAN DIEGO, CA  92192
FOR: ENERGYWISE ENGINEERING AND TECHNICAL CONSULTING (EETC)

ALEJANDRA TELLEZ
MGMT ANALYST, COUNTY EXEC OFFICE
COUNTY OF VENTURA
800 S. VICTORIA AVENUE, L-1940
VENTURA, CA  93009
FOR: THE COUNTY OF VENTURA

TREVOR KEITH
COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO
976 OSOS STREET
SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA  93408
FOR: COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO

MONA TIERNEY-LLOYD
SR. DIR., WESTERN REGULATORY AFFAIRS
ENERNOC, INC.
PO BOX 378
CAYUCOS, CA  93430
FOR: ENERNOC, INC.

COURTNEY KALASHIAN
CP-CHAIR, RHTR
SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY CLEAN ENERGY ORG.
4747 NORTH FIRST STREET, SUITE 140
FRESNO, CA  93726
FOR: SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY CLEAN ENERGY ORGANIZATION (SJVCEO)

ELISABETH B. RUSSELL
SPECIAL PROJECTS DIRECTOR
ASSOCIATION OF MONTEREY BAY AREA GOV'TS
24580 SILVER CLOUD COURT
MONTEREY, CA  93940
FOR: ASSOCIATION OF MONTEREY BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS (AMBAG)

STEVE SCHMIDT
HOME ENERGY ANALYTICS
13061 BYRD LN
LOS ALTOS, CA  94022
FOR: HOME ENERGY ANALYTICS (HEA)

ABHAY GUPTA
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
BIDGELY, INC.
298 SOUTH SUNNYVALE AVENUE, STE. 205
SUNNYVALE, CA  94098
FOR: BIDGELY, INC.

JORDANA CAMMARATA
FIRSTFUEL SOFTWARE
ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, SUITE 1550
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94102
FOR: FIRSTFUEL SOFTWARE

THERESA CHO
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY, CITY HALL
1 CARLTON GOODLETT PLACE, ROOM 234
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94102
FOR: CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

CHRISTOPHER CLAY
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
LEGAL DIVISION
ROOM 4300
505 VAN NESS AVENUE

HAYLEY GOODSON
STAFF ATTORNEY
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
785 MARKET ST., STE. 1400
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94103
FOR: SYNERGY COMPANIES

ROBERT FRIED
ATKINSON, ANDELSON, LOYA, RUUD & ROMO
5075 HOPYARD ROAD, STE. 210
PLEASANTON, CA 94588
FOR: INSTITUTE OF HEARING AND AIR CONDITIONING INDUSTRIES, INC. (IHACI)

GERALD LAHR
ENERGY PROGRAMS MGR.
ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS
101 8TH ST.
OAKLAND, CA 94607
FOR: SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA REGIONAL ENERGY NETWORK (SFBAREN)

FOR: ENERGY EFFICIENCY INC. (EEI)

JERRY LAHR
PROGRAM MANAGER
ABAG POWER
101 EIGHT STREET
OAKLAND, CA 94607-4756
FOR: ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS (ABAG)

ALISON SEEL
ASSOCIATE ATTORNEY
SIERRA CLUB
2101 WEBSTER ST., STE. 1300
OAKLAND, CA 94612
FOR: SIERRA CLUB

BRUCE MAST
DIR OF PROGRAMS
BUILD IT GREEN
1330 BROADWAY. STE 1702
OAKLAND, CA 94612
FOR: BUILD IT GREEN (BIG)

HEATHER LARSON
ADMINISTRATOR
EAST BAY ENERGY WATCH
1537 WEBSTER ST.
OAKLAND, CA 94612
FOR: EAST BAY ENERGY WATCH STRATEGIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE (EBEWSAC)

KEVIN CORNISH
VP BUSINESS SERVICES
COHEN VENTURES, INC./ ENERGY SOLUTIONS
449 15TH STREET, SUITE 400
OAKLAND, CA 94612
FOR: COHEN VENTURES, INC. DBA ENERGY SOLUTIONS (ENERGY SOLUTIONS)

JULIA HATTON
DIRECTOR OF PROGRAMS
RISING SUN ENERGY CENTER
2998 SAN PABLO AVENUE
BERKELEY, CA 94702
FOR: RISING SUN ENERGY CENTER

MICHAEL CALLAHAN
REGULATORY COUNSEL
MARIN CLEAN ENERGY
1125 TAMALPAIS AVENUE
SAN RAFAEL, CA 94901
FOR: MARINE CLEAN ENERGY (MCE)

MAHLON ALDRIDGE
VP - STRATEGIC DEVELOPMENT
ECOLOGY ACTION OF SANTA CRUZ
877cedarstreet,ste.240
SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060-3938
FOR: ECOLOGY ACTION OF SANTA CRUZ

NEAL M. REARDON
DIR - REGULATORY AFFAIRS
SONOMA CLEAN POWER AUTHORITY
50 SANTA ROSA AVE. 5TH FL
SANTA ROSA, CA 95404
FOR: SONOMA CLEAN POWER AUTHORITY

MICHELLE VIGEN
SENIOR POLICY MGR.
CALIF. EFFICIENCY + DEMAND MGMT COUNCIL
1535 FARMERS LANE, SUITE 312
SANTA ROSA, CA 95405
FOR: CALIFORNIA ENERGY EFFICIENCY INDUSTRY COUNCIL (CEEIC)

LOU JACOBSON
CO-CHAIR / DIR OF DEMAND MGMT
RURAL HARD TO REACH LOCAL GOVT'
HIGH SIERRA ENERGY FOUNDATION

KEVIN MESSNER
SVP, POLICY & GOV'T RELATIONS
ASSOCIATION OF HOME APPLIANCE MFG
1512 WILLOW LANE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Company/Division</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Email 1</th>
<th>Email 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tom Eckhart</td>
<td>CEO</td>
<td>Cal-Ucons</td>
<td>10612 NE 46th Street, Kirkland, WA 98033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacob Oster</td>
<td></td>
<td>Energy Savvy</td>
<td>159 South Jackson Street, Suite 420, Seattle, WA 98102</td>
<td>FOR: Energy Savvy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaron (Yichen) Lu</td>
<td>Program Coordinator</td>
<td>City of San Diego</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex Porteshawver</td>
<td>Center for Sustainable Energy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice Stover</td>
<td>Clean Energy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allan Rago</td>
<td>Quality Conservation Services, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annette Beitel</td>
<td>Future Energy Enterprises-Cal.Tech. Form</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbara Barkovich</td>
<td>Consultant</td>
<td>Barkovich &amp; Yap</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Smith</td>
<td>Pacific Gas and Electric Company</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carol Yin</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yinsight, Inc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam Scheer</td>
<td>PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY</td>
<td>Email Only</td>
<td></td>
<td>Email Only, CA 00000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex Ricklefs</td>
<td>Energy Coalition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allan Lee</td>
<td>Exec. Dir., Energy Services Division</td>
<td>Cadmus</td>
<td></td>
<td>Email Only, Or 00000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andra Pligavko</td>
<td>Email Only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arleen Novotney</td>
<td>Access / SCF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email Only, CA 00000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beckie Menten</td>
<td>Efficiency Coordinator</td>
<td>Marin Clean Energy</td>
<td></td>
<td>Email Only, CA 00000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmen Best</td>
<td>Independent Energy Consultant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email Only, CA 00000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caroline Chen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email Only, CA 00000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CAROLINE M. FRANCIS  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

CASSANDRA FELICIANO  
REGULATORY CASE MANAGER  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

CHUCK BUCK  
MANAGER, REGULATORY AFFAIRS  
OPOWER  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

CORY SCOTT  
PACIFICORP  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, NV  00000

DAMON FRANZ  
DIRECTOR - POLICY & ELECTRICITY MARKETS  
TESLA, INC.  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

DON ARAMBULA  
DON ARAMBULA CONSULTING  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, AA  00000

ELI CAUDILL  
CLEARESULT  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

EMILY SANGI  
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLP  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

GRACE PERALTA  
RESIDENTIAL PROGRAM MANAGER  
MCE CLEAN ENERGY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

CASE COORDINATION  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

CATHIE A. ALLEN  
PACIFICORP  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

CORINNE M. SIERZANT  
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

CRAIG TYLER  
TYLER & ASSOCIATES  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

DAVID HUANG  
LEGAL FELLOW  
THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

DORREN CARUTH  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

ELI HARLAND  
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION  
ENERGY RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT DIV.  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

ERIC EBERHARDT  
ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR ENERGY SERVICES  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIF. OFFICE OF THE PRES.  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

GREG WIKLER  
DIR - ENERGY  
NAVIGANT CONSULTING, INC.  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000
HALLEY FITZPATRICK  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

HANNA GRENE  
CENTER FOR SUSTAINABLE ENERGY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

HANNAH KAYE  
FERC & ISO RELATIONS  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

IAN KEARNEY  
LAW CLERK  
WESTERN ENERGY & WATER, APC  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

JANE S. PETERS  
RESEARCH INTO ACTION, INC.  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

JAY MURDOCH  
OWENS CORNING  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

JEAN SHELTON  
ITRON  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

JENNIFER BERG  
BAYREN PROJECT MANAGER  
ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

JENNY ROECKS, PE  
ENERGY EFFICIENCY POLICY & STRATEGY  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

JEREMY WAEN  
SR. REGULATORY ANALYST  
MARIN CLEAN ENERGY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

JESSICA COHEN  
MANAGEMENT FOLLOW/PROGRAM MANAGER  
L.A.COUNTY OFFICE OF SUSTAINABILITY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

JESSICA WAGGONER  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

JOHN CA VallI  
ITRON  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

JOHN JONES  
BUILDING PERFORMANCE INSTITUTE, INC.  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

JON VENCIL  
SR. CONSULTANT, MKT WEST  
DNV GL  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

JOSEPH LANDE  
CUSTOMER PROGRAMS MANAGER, MCE  
MCE CLEAN ENERGY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

KATIE ELLIOTT  
ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAM SPECIALIST  
MARIN CLEAN ENERGY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

KATY ROSENBERG  
ALCANTAR & KAHL  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Email Only</th>
<th>CA</th>
<th>00000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LAUREL ROTHSCILD</td>
<td>ENERGY COALITION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINDSEY HAWES</td>
<td>CENTER FOR SUSTAINABLE ENERGY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUCY MORRIS</td>
<td>PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARC COSTA</td>
<td>ENERGY COALITION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARIA STAMAS</td>
<td>LEGAL FELLOW, ENERGY PROGRAM</td>
<td>NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATT BOGOSHIAN</td>
<td>CHIEF STRATEGY OFFICER &amp; GEN.COUNSEL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCE REGULATORY</td>
<td>MARIN CLEAN ENERGY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEGHAN DEWEY</td>
<td>MGR - EE POLICY / STRATEGY</td>
<td>PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERRIAN BORGESEON</td>
<td>SR. SCIENTIST</td>
<td>NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICHAEL NGUYEN</td>
<td>ENERGY COALITION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICHAEL NORBECK</td>
<td>PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIKE RUFO</td>
<td>ITRON</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISTI BRUCERI</td>
<td>MISTI BRUCERI &amp; ASSOCIATES, LLC</td>
<td>NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOHIT CHHABRA</td>
<td>SCIENTIST</td>
<td>NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYRON GRAESSLE</td>
<td>LOCKEED MARTIN ENERGY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NANCY SARACINO</td>
<td>WESTERN ENERGY &amp; WATER, APC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIHIL GANDHI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATRICK FERGUSON</td>
<td>ATTORNEY</td>
<td>DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIKHIL GANDHI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 W. FIFTH ST. GT19A8
LOS ANGELES, CA  90013

DAVID KIM
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 WEST 5TH STREET
LOS ANGELES, CA  90013

ELIZABETH BAIRES
REGULATORY MGR
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 W. FIFTH ST., GT14D6
LOS ANGELES, CA  90013

ERIN PALERMO
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 W 5TH STREET
LOS ANGELES, CA  90013

JESSE JOHN MARTINEZ
SEMPRA UTILITIES
555 W. 5TH ST
LOS ANGELES, CA  90013

JOYCE KWOK
CUSTOMER PROGRAMS & ASSISTANCE
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 WEST FIFTH STREET, GT19A7
LOS ANGELES, CA  90013

MARK HERVEY
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 W. FIFTH STREET
LOS ANGELES, CA  90013

PAMELA WU
REGULATORY CASE MGR.
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 W. FIFTH STREET, GT14D6
LOS ANGELES, CA  90013

RONALD VAN DER LEEDEN
DIR. - REGULATORY AFFAIRS
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 W. FIFTH STREET, GT14D6
LOS ANGELES, CA  90013

CARLOS A. H. VAQUERANO

555 WEST FIFTH ST., MAIL STOP GT19A7
LOS ANGELES, CA  90013

DERRICK CLIFTON
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 W. 5TH STREET
LOS ANGELES, CA  90013

ELLIOTT HENRY
SR. COUNSEL
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 WEST 5TH ST., GT-14E7
LOS ANGELES, CA  90013

JEFF SALAZAR
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 W. FIFTH STREET, GT14D6
LOS ANGELES, CA  90013

JOSEPH MOCK
REGULATORY CASE MGR.
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 WEST 5TH ST., STE 1400, GT14D6
LOS ANGELES, CA  90013

LETICIA AYALA
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 WEST 5TH STREET
LOS ANGELES, CA  90013

MARK HUERTA
SOUTHERN CA GAS COMPANY
555 WEST 5TH STREET
LOS ANGELES, CA  90013

PAUL DEANG
CUSTOMER PROGRAM
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 W. 5TH STREET
LOS ANGELES, CA  90013

VANESSA GOMEZ
REGULATORY POLICY ADVISOR
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 W. FIFTH ST. GT19A8
LOS ANGELES, CA  90013

DREW M. BRAUER
EXECUTIVE DIR.
SALVADORAN AMERICAN LEADERSHIP
1625 WEST OLYMPIC BLVD.
LOS ANGELES, CA  90015
FOR: SALVADORAN AMERICAN LEADERSHIP AND
EDUCATIONAL FUND (SALEF)

SR PROGRAM CONSULTANT
FRONTIER ENERGY
800 W 6TH ST. SUITE 1250
LOS ANGELES, CA  90017

NANCY BARBA
FRONTIER ENERGY
800 W. 6TH ST., SUITE 1250
LOS ANGELES, CA  90017
FOR: SOCALREN

LUJUANA MEDINA
REGULATORY MANAGER
ENERGYRSC
EMAIL ONLY
LOS ANGELES, CA  90032
FOR: COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

MINH LE
GEN. MGR. - OFFICE OF SUSTAINABILITY
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
1100 NORTH EASTERN AVENUE
LOS ANGELES, CA  90063-3200
FOR: COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

JAY LUBOFF
ASSOC DIR - ENERGY
NAVIGANT
515 S. FLOWER STREET, STE. 3500
LOS ANGELES, CA  90071

MABELL GARCIA PAINE
PRINCIPAL
ICF INTERNATIONAL
601 W 5TH STREET, STE. 900
LOS ANGELES, CA  90071
FOR: JUST ENERGY SOLUTIONS INC.

LENA LUNA
SR. ENERGY PROJECT MGR.
SO. BAY CITIES COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
20285 S. WESTERN AVE., STE. 100
TORRANCE, CA  90501

INGER GOODMAN
CASE ADMINISTRATION
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE, PO BOX 800
ROSEMEAD, CA  91770

AARON KLEMM
CHIEF, ENERGY & SUSTAINABILITY
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY
401 GOLDEN SHORE
LONG BEACH, CA  90802-4210

GREGORY S.G. KLATT
ATTORNEY
DOUGLASS & LIDDELL
4766 PARK GRANADA, STE. 209
CALABASAS, CA  91302

BASU MUKHERJEE, P.E.
PRESIDENT
GLOBAL ENERGY SERVICES, INC.
22815 LAZY TRAIL ROAD
DIAMOND BAR, CA  91765

CHARISSE BURNETT
SO. CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
1515 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE, 4TH FLR
ROSEMEAD, CA  91770

FRANK W. HARRIS
REGULATORY ECONOMIST
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
2244 WALNUT GROVE
ROSEMEAD, CA  91770

JANET COMBS, ESQ.
SR. ATTORNEY

RICHARD SPERBERG
ONSITE ENERGY CORP.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title/Position</th>
<th>Company/Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bob Ramirez</td>
<td>Senior Consultant</td>
<td>DNV GL - Energy, 3605 Fifth Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald C. Liddell</td>
<td>Attorney</td>
<td>DOUGLASS &amp; LIDDELL, 2928 2nd Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leslie Owashi</td>
<td>Senior Consultant</td>
<td>DNV GL - Energy, 3605 Fifth Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annlyn M. Faustino</td>
<td>Regulatory Case Analyst &amp; Support</td>
<td>SDG&amp;E/SCGC, 8330 Century Park Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brittny Lee</td>
<td>Regulatory Case Admin.</td>
<td>SAN DIEGO GAS &amp; ELECTRIC COMPANY, 8330 Century Park Court, CP32F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dean A. Kinports</td>
<td>Regulatory Case Mgr.</td>
<td>SAN DIEGO GAS &amp; ELECTRIC COMPANY, 8330 Century Park Court, CP32F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellen N. Adler</td>
<td>Senior Counsel</td>
<td>SAN DIEGO GAS &amp; ELECTRIC COMPANY, 8330 Century Park Court, CP32D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joshua Thompson</td>
<td></td>
<td>SAN DIEGO GAS &amp; ELECTRIC COMPANY, 8690 Balboa Ave. CPA03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roland G Mollen</td>
<td></td>
<td>SAN DIEGO GAS &amp; ELECTRIC COMPANY, 8690 Balboa Ave. CP32F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sachu Constantine</td>
<td>Director of Policy</td>
<td>CENTER FOR SUSTAINABLE ENERGY, 9325 Sky Park Court, Suite 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Files</td>
<td></td>
<td>SAN DIEGO GAS &amp; ELECTRIC COMPANY, 8330 Century Park Court, CP31-E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athena Besa</td>
<td>Customer Programs &amp; Policy Manager</td>
<td>SAN DIEGO GAS &amp; ELECTRIC COMPANY, 8330 Century Park Court, CP12H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Marconi</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>BEAR VALLEY ELECTRIC SERVICE, 42020 Garstin Drive, PO Box 1547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlis Reynolds</td>
<td></td>
<td>THE CADMUS GROUP, INC., 4 Venture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sahar Abbaszabeh</td>
<td>Associate</td>
<td>CADMUS GROUP LLC, 4 Venture, Suite 395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheena Tran</td>
<td></td>
<td>ICF INTERNATIONAL, 1 ADA, Suite 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IRVINE, CA  92618

JEFF HIRSCH
JAMES J. HIRSCH & ASSOCIATES
12185 PRESILLA ROAD
SANTA ROSA VALLEY, CA  93012-9243

JOHN AVINA
ABRAXAS ENERGY CONSULTING, LLC
811 PALM STREET
SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA  93401

JON GRIESSER
CHAIR, RHTR
RURAL HARD TO REACH LOCAL GOVT
COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO
976 OSOS STREET, SUITE 300
SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA  93401
FOR: RURAL HARD TO REACH LOCAL GOVERNMENT PARTNERSHIPS WORKING GROUP (RHTR)

PAM BOLD
CO-CHAIR / EXEC DIRC
RURAL HARD TO REACH LOCAL GOVT.
HIGH SIERRA ENERGY FOUNDATION
3343 N. MAIN, SUITE X6
MAMMOTH LAKES, CA  93546
FOR: RURAL HARD TO REACH LOCAL GOVERNMENT PARTNERSHIPS™ WORKING GROUP (RHTR)

DEEANN TOZLIAN
STRATEGIC PLANNING RESEARCH MGR
RICHARD HEATH & ASSOCIATES, INC.
590 W LOCUST AVENUE, SUITE 103
FRESNO, CA  93650

LISA SCHMIDT
HOME ENERGY ANALYTICS
13061 BYRD LN
LOS ALTOS, CA  94022

ANDREW YIP
DIR - BUS. DEVELOPMENT (DC MICROGRID)
ROBERT BOSCH LLC
101 JEFFERSON DRIVE
MENLO PARK, CA  94025

JORDANA TEMLOCK
BIDGELY, INC
110 PIONEER WAY
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA  94041

STEPHEN STOLTE
COORDINATOR - OFFICE OF SUSTAINABILITY
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO
400 COUNTY CENTER
REDWOOD CITY, CA  94063

NICK BROD
CLEARESULT
1100 GRUNDY LANE, STE. 100
SAN BRUNO, CA  94066

DIANA S. GENASCI
REGULATORY AFFAIRS
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
601 VAN NESS AVENUE, SUITE 2030
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94102

JOANNA PEREZ-GREEN
CPUC - ENERGY
505 VAN NESS AVE.
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94102

KATHLEEN BRYAN
S.F. DEPT. OF THE ENVIRONMENT
1455 MARKET STREET, SUITE 1200
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94102

ROSS NAKASONE
PLANNING & REGULATORY COMPLIANCE
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
525 GOLDEN GATE AVE., 7TH FL.
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94102

CLEANPOWER SF REGULATORY
SFPUC
525 GOLDEN GATE AVE.
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94102

CHRISTINA TOROK
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY EFFICIENCY BRANCH
AREA
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
THERESA L. MUELLER  
CHIEF ENERGY & TELECOM DEPUTY  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
CITY HALL, ROOM 234  
1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94102-4682

ANN KELLY  
DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT  
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
1145 MARKET STREET, SUITE 1200  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94103

CAL BROOMHEAD  
DEPT OF ENVIRONMENT, ENERGY SECTION  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
1145 MARKET STREET, SUITE 1200  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94103

LOWELL CHU  
SR. ENERGY EFFICIENCY SPECIALIST  
S.F. DEPT OF ENVIRONMENT  
1455 MARKET ST., SUITE 1200  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94103

JAMES M. BIRKELUND  
PRESIDENT  
SMALL BUSINESS UTILITY ADVOCATES  
548 MARKET STREET, STE. 11200  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94104

JEFF GUILD  
ENOVITY, INC.  
100 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 600  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94104  
FOR: ENOVITY, INC.

KATHRYN F. KRI佐ERE  
ATTORNEY - REGULATORY  
SMALL BUSINESS UTILITY ADVOCATES  
548 MARKET ST., STE. 11200  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94104  
FOR: SMALL BUSINESS UTILITY ADVOCATES (SBUA)

ALYSSA BRUNER  
STRATEGIC ANALYST  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
DESK: 956A  
245 MARKET STREET  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94105

AMIE BURKHOLDER  
BUCHALTER, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION  
55 SECOND STREET, STE. 1700  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94105

AMUL SATHE  
NAVIGANT CONSULTING  
1 MARKET ST., SPEAR TOWER STE.1200  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94105

AMY BARR  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
77 BEALE STREET, MC B23A  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94105

ANDREW LEE  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC  
245 MARKET STREET  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94105

BRUCE PERLSTEIN  
DIRECTOR, ADVISORY  
KPMG LLP  
55 SECOND ST., STE. 1400  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94105

BUCHALTER DOCKET  
BUCHALTER  
55 SECOND STREET, SUITE 1700  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94105

CARA GOLDENBERG  
DIAN GRUENEICH CONSULTING, LLC  
201 MISSION STREET, SUITE 1200  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94105

CHRIS KATO  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
245 MARKET STREET, N6G  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94105

DAVID POSTER  

DEREK JONES
CARMEN HENRIKSON                          FRED COITO
ASSOCIATE V.P., STRATEGY                  DNV GL
TRC SOLUTIONS                             155 GRAND AVENUE, SUITE 500
436 14TH STREET, SUITE 1020              OAKLAND, CA  94612
OAKLAND, CA  94612

HANNAH ARNOLD                             JENNIFER E. CANSECO
OPINION DYNAMICS                          HEAD OF SECTION, MARKET WEST
1999 HARRISON ST., STE. 1420              DNV GL
OAKLAND, CA  94612                        155 GRAND AVE., STE.500
OAKLAND, CA  94612

JENNIFER MITCHELL-JACKSON                 MARGIE GARDNER
PARTNER                                  EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
OPINION DYNAMICS                          CAL. ENERGY EFFICIENCY INDUSTRY COUNCIL
1999 HARRISON ST., STE. 1420              436 14TH STREET, SUITE 1020
OAKLAND, CA  94612                        OAKLAND, CA  94612
FOR: CALIFORNIA ENERGY EFFICIENCY
INDUSTRY COUNCIL

MARGIE GARDNER
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
CAL. ENERGY EFFICIENCY INDUSTRY COUNCIL
436 14TH STREET, SUITE 1020
OAKLAND, CA  94612
FOR: CALIFORNIA ENERGY EFFICIENCY
INDUSTRY COUNCIL

MARY SUTTER
OPINION DYNAMICS
1999 HARRISON ST., STE. 1420
OAKLAND, CA  94612

NINA MERCHANT-VEGA
OPINION DYNAMICS
1999 HARRISON ST., STE. 1420
OAKLAND, CA  94612

OLIVIA PATTERSON
OPINION DYNAMICS
1999 HARRISON ST., STE. 1420
OAKLAND, CA  94612

SEPIDEH SHAHINFARD
THE CADMUS GROUP, INC.
1901 HARRISON ST., NO. 1100
OAKLAND, CA  94612

RACHEL GOLDEN                             TED POPE
SR. CAMPAIGN REP.                         VICE PRESIDENT
SIERRA CLUB                                ENERGY SOLUTION
2101 WEBSTER, STE. 1300                   449 15TH STREET
OAKLAND, CA  94612                        OAKLAND, CA  94612

TAMI BUHR                                  STEVE KROMER
OPINION DYNAMICS                          SKEE
1999 HARRISON ST., STE. 1420              1911 9TH STREET B
OAKLAND, CA  94612                        BERKELEY, CA  94710

MICHELLE VIGEN RALSTON                    EDWARD VINE
COMMON SPARK CONSULTING                   LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY
1929 PARKER ST APT A                      BUILDING 90-2002
BERKELEY, CA  94704

ANDREW G. CAMPBELL                          https://ia.cpuc.ca.gov/servicelists/R1311005_81279.htm
EXEC. DIR., ENERGY INSTITUTE AT HAAS       10/5/2018
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
324 GIANNINI HALL
BERKELEY, CA  94720

DANIEL GENTER
CUSTOMER PROGRAMS SPECIALIST
MARINE CLEAN ENERGY
1125 TAMALPAIS AVE.
SAN RAFAEL, CA  94901

BERKELEY, CA  94720-8136

JOHN PROCTOR
PROCTOR ENGINEERING GROUP, LTD
418 MISSION AVENUE
SAN RAFAEL, CA  94901

SHALINI SWAROOP
REGULATORY & LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL
MARIN CLEAN ENERGY
1125 TAMALPAIS AVENUE
SAN RAFAEL, CA  94901

NATHANIEL MALCOLM
POLICY COUNSEL
MARINE CLEAN ENERGY
1125 TAMALPAIS AVENUE
SAN RAFAEL, CA  94901

ELSIA GALAWISH
EXE DIRECTOR
WESTERN HVAC PERFORMANCE ALLIANCE, INC.
524 SAN ANSELMO AVENUE, STE. 109
SAN ANSELMO, CA  94960
FOR: WESTERN HVAC PERFORMANCE ALLIANCE, INC. (WHPA)

HANK RYAN
EXECUTIVE DIR.
SMALL BUSINESS CALIFORNIA (SB CALIF.)
750 - 47TH AVE., NO. 56
CAPITOLA, CA  95010

JENNIFER HOLMES
INDEPENDENT CONSULTANT
PO BOX 4235
SANTA CRUZ, CA  95063

DEMETRA J. MCBRIDE
DIR. - OFF. OF SUST. & CLIMATE ACTION
SANTA CLARA COUNTY
70 W. HEDDING ST., E. WING, 11TH FLR.
SAN JOSE, CA  95110
FOR: LOCAL GOVERNMENT SUSTAINABLE ENERGY COALITION

NATALIE DE LEON
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA
70 W. HEDDING
SAN JOSE, CA  95110

DAVID REYNOLDS
ERS
152 N. 3RD STREET, SUITE 520
SAN JOSE, CA  95112

JUSTIN P. LEVEQUE
CITY OF SAN JOSE-ENVIRONMENTAL SVCS DEPT
200 EAST SANTA CLARA STREET, 10TH FL.
SAN JOSE, CA  95113

JANET FERRARI
COMMERCIAL TEAM MANAGER
CONSOL
5757 PACIFIC AVENUE, SUITE 220
STOCKTON, CA  95207

LAUREN CASEY
CLIMATE PROTECTION PROGRAM MANAGER
SCTA/RCPA
490 MENDOCINO AVE., STE. 206
SANTA ROSA, CA  95401

STEVEN S. SHUPE
GENERAL COUNSEL
SONOMA CLEAN POWER AUTHORITY
50 SANTA ROSA AVE., 5TH FL.
SANTA ROSA, CA  95404

ANNE ARQUIT NIEDERBERGER
POLICY SOLUTIONS
218 FOSS CREEK CIRCLE

SMITA GUPTA
SR. ENERGY CONSULTANT
ITRON, INC.
HAZLYN FORTUNE
A.L.J. PRO TEM
CPUC - ENERGY
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

JENNIFER KALAFUT
EXECUTIVE DIVISION
CPUC
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

JEORGE S. TAGNIPES
CPUC - ENERGY
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

JEREMY BATTIS
CPUC - ENERGY
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

JULIE FITCH
ALJ DIVISION
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

KATHERINE HARDY
CPUC - ENERGY
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

KAYODE KAJOPIYE
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

LISA PAULO
CPUC - ENERGY
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

MARTHA BROOK
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

MONA DEE DZVOVA
CPUC - ENERGY
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

PATRICK SAXTON
ADVISOR TO COMM. ANDREW MCALLISTER
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

PAULA GRUENDLING
CPUC - ENERGY
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

PETER FRANZESE
CPUC - ENERGY
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

PETER LAI
CPUC - ENERGY
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

RORY COX
CPUC
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

TORY FRANCISCO
ENERGY
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

VALERIE KAO
ALJ DIVISION
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94102-3214

ROBERT L. STRAUSS
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY EFFICIENCY BRANCH
ROOM 4007
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94102-3214

ROSANNE O'HARA
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
LEGAL DIVISION
ROOM 5039
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94102-3214

SANDY GOLDBERG
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
COMMISSIONER RECHTSCHAFFEN
ROOM 5202
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94102-3214

SARAH LERHAUPT
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY EFFICIENCY BRANCH
AREA
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94102-3214

SHANNON O'ROURKE
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
DEMAND RESPONSE, CUSTOMER GENERATION, AN
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94102-3214

ABHILASHA WADHWA
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY EFFICIENCY BRANCH
300 Capitol Mall
Sacramento, CA  95814

CYNTHIA ROGERS
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 9TH STREET, MS-22
SACRAMENTO, CA  95814

DEANA J. CARRILLO
EXE. DIR
CAEATFA
915 CAPITOL MALL
SACRAMENTO, CA  95814
FOR: CALIFORNIA ALTERNATIVE ENERGY &
ADVANCED TRANSPORTATION FINANCING
AUTHORITY (CAEATFA)

KEVIN FEIZI
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY EFFICIENCY BRANCH
770 L Street, Suite 1250
Sacramento, CA  95814

MICHAEL KENNEY
ENERGY ANALYST - EFFICIENCY DIVISION
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 9TH STREET, MS-26
SACRAMENTO, CA  95814

MIRIAM JOFFE-BLOCK
PROGRAM MGR.
CAEATFA
801 CAPITOL MALL
SACRAMENTO, CA  95814
FOR: CALIFORNIA ALTERNATIVE ENERGY AND
ADVANCED TRANSPORTATION FINANCING
AUTHORITY (CAEATFA); CALIF. HUB FOR
ENERGY EFFICIENCY FINANCE (CHEEF)

JORGE GONZALES
ENERGY ANALYST - EXISTING BLDG
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 NINTH STREET, MS-26
SACRAMENTO, CA  95814-5512

ROBERT RIDGLEY
ENERGY COMMISSION SPECIALIST I
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 NINTH STREET, MS-26
SACRAMENTO, CA  95814-5512

TIFFANY MATEO
MECHANICAL ENGINEER
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 NINTH STREET, MS-26
SACRAMENTO, CA  95814-5512

https://ia.cpuc.ca.gov/servicelists/R1311005_81279.htm  10/5/2018