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SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY’S (U 338-E) REPLY COMMENTS ON STAFF PROPOSAL ON ESSENTIAL SERVICE AND AFFORDABILITY METRICS

I.

INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to the August 20, 2019 Administrative Law Judges’ Ruling Inviting Comments on Staff Proposal (the Ruling), Southern California Edison Company (SCE) submits these reply comments on the Staff Proposal on Essential Service and Affordability Metrics (Staff Proposal).

SCE replies to other parties’ opening comments on the questions posed in the Ruling as follows:

- **When and How Affordability Metrics Should be Utilized.**
  - SCE agrees with the comments of Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), Southwest Gas, and California Water Association (CWA) that the affordability metrics should not be used for determining whether a specific rate request is reasonable. Each utility rate request should instead continue to be assessed based on the value it will provide to customers and what, if any, reasonable cost alternatives exist that can meet the same objectives.
- The Commission should reject The Utility Reform Network’s (TURN’s), The California Community Choice Association’s (CalCCA’s), and GRID Alternatives’ proposals for the Commission to set affordability thresholds. As indicated in the Staff Proposal, it is not appropriate to use the metrics for concluding in absolute terms whether bills are affordable, and such an analysis would be overly simplistic and misleading. Affordability thresholds would also imply potential rejection of activities once such a threshold is crossed without consideration of the impact of not undertaking these activities on safety, reliability, resilience, or other customer impacts.

- Annual reporting of the affordability metrics, as proposed by several parties including SCE, better serves this proceeding’s goals and is more practical than the Commission assessing the affordability metrics for utility rate requests repeatedly, regardless of size or timing, as supported by TURN, the Center for Accessible Technology (CforAT), CalCCA, and the Public Advocates Office (Cal Advocates).

- The Commission should take sufficient time to assess the proposed metrics, rather than implementing them immediately, in order to understand how and why outputs for these metrics might change. This assessment should occur through workshops rather than in actual, ongoing matters as proposed by Cal Advocates. Workshops would allow for the input of all relevant stakeholders and ensure consistency in the understanding and application of these metrics.

- CalCCA’s proposal that the Commission adopt a reporting template that includes a ten-year forecast of revenue requirement increases and rate impacts should be rejected. Forecasting revenue requirement increases and rate impacts ten years into the future would neither be reliable nor useful.
• Adequacy of Proposed Affordability Metrics.
  o SCE disagrees with adding arrearages and disconnections as affordability
    metrics as recommended by the Utility Consumer’s Action Network
    (UCAN) and CalCCA. The relationship between rate increases and
    disconnections or arrearages is a complex relationship with multiple other
    variables. As a result, attempting to explain changes based only on rate
    increases may lead to flawed and erroneous conclusions.
  o The Commission should not adjust out essential non-utility expenses in the
    Affordability Ratio, as recommended by TURN and GRID Alternatives,
    because of the practical difficulties in obtaining and standardizing data
    associated with these expenses and because doing so would not provide
    any additional clarity into a relative comparison of affordability when
    comparing spatial and temporal changes.
  o SCE supports many of Cal Advocates’ recommendations for modifying
    the proposed metrics to make them more accurate and suitable, including
    their recommendations that household size should be disregarded when
    calculating the affordability metrics, that essential service quantities
    should be calculated per residential connection rather than per capita, and
    that the Commission should not place equal value in a decision-making
    context on metrics that combine all utility industries.

II. COMMENTS

A. When and How Affordability Metrics Should be Utilized

1. Revenue requests should still be assessed based on what is the reasonable
   cost of providing safe and reliable utility services or otherwise advancing the
   State’s policy goals.

   SCE agrees with the comments by PG&E, Southwest Gas, and CWA that the
   affordability metrics should not be used as a determining factor for whether a specific rate
request is reasonable. As stated in SCE’s Opening Comments, utility rate requests should instead continue to be assessed based on the value they will provide to customers (including meeting SCE’s statutory obligation to ensure the safety and reliability of the electric service it provides and advancing the State’s policy goals) and what, if any, reasonable cost alternatives exist that can meet the same objectives. The affordability metrics should supplement that analysis by enabling the Commission and stakeholders to examine actual costs to customers over time. However, as Staff noted, the affordability metrics themselves should not be used as “criteria to determine in absolute terms whether bills are affordable or not.”

2. Establishing affordability thresholds would be inappropriate.

SCE opposes the recommendations made by TURN, CalCCA, and GRID Alternatives that the Commission set affordability thresholds or ranges. These recommendations run counter to both the Staff Proposal’s correct decision to “not set forth criteria to determine in absolute terms whether bills are affordable or not” and Dr. Teodoro’s conclusions that the “affordability of anything is rarely a strictly yes/no phenomenon” and that using such a binary standard “causes simplistic and misleading analyses.” Instead, SCE agrees with Cal Advocates that “the Commission should not set hard thresholds for affordability and unaffordability based on the metrics, but rather should measure them on a comparative basis, over time.” Metrics can serve as a useful tool to assess cumulative bill impacts and backward-looking trend analyses, and be a useful source of information to inform Commission decision-making. However, attempting to set thresholds for what is affordable oversimplifies not only the complex and sometimes

---

1 PG&E Opening Comments, p. 2; Southwest Gas Opening Comments, p. 4; CWA Opening Comments, pp. 4-5.
2 SCE Opening Comments, pp. 3-4.
3 Staff Proposal, p. 8.
4 TURN Opening Comments, p. 10; CalCCA Opening Comments, p. 4; GRID Alternatives Opening Comments, p. 2.
5 Staff Proposal, p. 8.
competing policy priorities that the Commission must consider (including safety, reliability, resiliency, decarbonization, and affordability) as it resolves rate-setting proceedings, but also the reasoning and tradeoffs inherent in individual households’ financial decisions.

3. **Annual reporting of the affordability metrics better serves this proceeding’s goals and is more practical than requiring a comprehensive affordability analysis for every rate request.**

SCE also opposes the recommendation made by several parties—including TURN, CforAT, CalCCA, and Cal Advocates—that the Commission require an affordability analysis using the affordability metrics with every rate request, regardless of the size of the total dollar request or timing in relation to a General Rate Request or other large filing.\(^8\) Instead, as expressed in SCE’s Opening Comments and the opening comments of San Diego Gas & Electric Company and Southern California Gas Company (SDG&E and SoCalGas), the Greenlining Institute (Greenlining), and PG&E, it is more appropriate and practical for the Commission to require an analysis of the cumulative impact of the utilities’ approved and/or pending rate requests through an annual report, or a similar periodic cadence.\(^9\)

Analyzing affordability for every rate request for every utility would overwhelm the Commission and stakeholders with affordability information that is only slightly changed from assessment to assessment.\(^10\) It would also be impractical because each time period would be too brief to account for the cumulative effects of multiple rate changes and the fluctuating schedules of various proceedings.\(^11\) In contrast, an annual assessment of affordability would provide substantial, cumulative affordability data that could inform individual rate request proceedings throughout the following year and obviate the need to reassess individual metrics in each

---

\(^8\) TURN Opening Comments, p. 11; CforAT Opening Comments, p. 8; CalCCA Opening Comments, p. 6-7; Cal Advocates Opening Comments, p. 4-5.

\(^9\) SCE Opening Comments, p. 4; SDG&E and SoCalGas Joint Opening Comments, pp. 10-11; Greenlining Opening Comments, p. 3; PG&E Opening Comments, p. 12.

\(^10\) SCE Opening Comments, p. 4.

\(^11\) SDG&E and SoCalGas Joint Opening Comments, p. 10-11.
Because such an annual assessment would be more comprehensive and informative than an assessment accompanying every rate request, such an annual assessment would also align more closely with the Commission’s stated desire in instituting this OIR to “comprehensively analyze the cumulative impact of rate requests and programs across proceedings and industries.”

4. **Affordability metrics should not be piloted yet in connection with unique proceedings or in advice letter submissions, but instead be vetted through workshops.**

SCE disagrees with Cal Advocates’ recommendation that the Commission should order small-scale “pilots” immediately in actual proceedings to shed light on who will update the input data, how frequently the data should be updated, and in what forum the data will be vetted. As stated in SCE’s Opening Comments, before formally implementing the broader affordability framework, the Commission should examine trends for these metrics in order to provide the Commission and stakeholders the opportunity to understand how and why outputs for these metrics change. However, at this early stage, this examination should occur through workshops rather than in actual, ongoing matters. Workshops would allow for the input of all relevant stakeholders, ensure consistency in the understanding and potential application of these metrics to understand cumulative impacts within and across utilities where appropriate, and avoid the potential for premature reliance on the metrics to inform Commission decisions before the metrics can be fully vetted. Assessing the metrics in workshops would also avoid the possibility, inherent in Cal Advocates’ proposal, of different Commission decisionmakers reaching inconsistent conclusions concerning the metrics and would avoid the inequity that could occur from separately testing the metrics for different utility industries in different pilots that have different time frames for resolution.

---

12 SCE Opening Comments, p. 4.
13 Order Instituting Rulemaking, p. 6.
14 Cal Advocates Opening Comments, pp. 21, 24-26.
15 SCE Opening Comments, p. 5.
5. A ten-year forecast of utility revenue requirement increases and rate impacts would be too speculative to be useful.

SCE opposes CalCCA’s proposal that the Commission should adopt, for purposes of tracking affordability on a recurring basis, the reporting template proposed by Cal Advocates on April 24, 2019 at the Commissioner Committee on Emerging Trends.\textsuperscript{16} While SCE supports the tracking of affordability, the reporting template supported by CalCCA (which is not mentioned in Cal Advocates’ own comments) includes a ten-year forecast of revenue requirement increases and rate impacts. Forecasting revenue requirement increases and rate impacts ten years into the future would neither be reliable nor useful given that rate designs are not static and that policy mandates and utility revenue requests can be driven by conditions outside of the electricity industry. As discussed above, a more robust and accurate approach would be to analyze the cumulative impact of the utilities’ approved and/or pending rate requests on an annual basis.

B. Adequacy of Proposed Affordability Metrics

1. The level of disconnections and arrearages should not be added as affordability metrics.

SCE opposes adding the level of arrearages (proposed by UCAN and CalCCA)\textsuperscript{17} or the number of disconnections (proposed by CalCCA)\textsuperscript{18} as additional affordability metrics because the relationship between rate increases and disconnections or arrearages is not a simple linear relationship but rather a complex one involving multiple other variables (e.g., the overall economic environment, weather conditions, and increases in customer growth). That complex relationship makes it difficult to isolate the relationship of a single determinant, namely rate increases, from the effect of numerous other determinants that may influence the volume of arrearages or disconnections at a given time. As a result, while SCE has previously noted in this

\textsuperscript{16} CalCCA Opening Comments, p. 5.
\textsuperscript{17} UCAN Opening Comments, p. 8; CalCCA Opening Comments, pp. 3-4.
\textsuperscript{18} CalCCA Opening Comments, p. 3.
proceeding that arrearage information should not be overlooked when discussing affordability.\(^\text{19}\) attempting to explain changes in arrearages or disconnections based only on one factor, rate increases, may lead to flawed and erroneous conclusions.

Moreover, as part of the reporting requirements instituted by the ongoing Disconnections OIR, R.18-07-005, the electric and gas investor-owned utilities (IOUs) have been reporting the number of customer arrearages and disconnections on a monthly basis. This reporting shows that UCAN’s specific proposal—any 5% or higher monthly increase in arrearages triggering an affordability review of an individual utility’s rates by the Commission\(^\text{20}\)—would be unduly burdensome. From January to July 2019, three of the four IOUs reported at least one monthly fluctuation in the number of arrearages that exceeded the proposed 5% threshold;\(^\text{21}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PG&amp;E # of Customers</th>
<th>% Change from Previous Month</th>
<th>SCE # of Customers</th>
<th>% Change from Previous Month</th>
<th>SoCalGas # of Customers</th>
<th>% Change from Previous Month</th>
<th>SDG&amp;E # of Customers</th>
<th>% Change from Previous Month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January 2019</td>
<td>1,026,605</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,092,832</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,116,048</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,042,959</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2019</td>
<td>966,886</td>
<td>-6%</td>
<td>1,071,030</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>1,207,337</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>1,004,857</td>
<td>-4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2019</td>
<td>1,023,252</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>937,406</td>
<td>-12%</td>
<td>1,152,332</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>998,082</td>
<td>-1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2019</td>
<td>963,956</td>
<td>-6%</td>
<td>944,251</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1,066,614</td>
<td>-7%</td>
<td>1,037,029</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2019</td>
<td>1,287,477</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>933,448</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>2,717,856</td>
<td>155%</td>
<td>1,066,641</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2019</td>
<td>1,174,969</td>
<td>-9%</td>
<td>999,409</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>2,134,155</td>
<td>-21%</td>
<td>1,037,827</td>
<td>-3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 2019</td>
<td>1,039,457</td>
<td>-12%</td>
<td>975,754</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>1,788,123</td>
<td>-16%</td>
<td>1,066,189</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The monthly volatility in the above table further supports the conclusion that many factors outside of utility rates affect the number of customers subject to arrears and supports the conclusion that this monthly data would not be a useful determinant of affordability.

\(^{19}\) SCE Opening Comments on Ruling Adding Workshop Presentations into the Record, May 13, 2019, p. 20.
\(^{20}\) UCAN Opening Comments, p. 8.
2. Essential non-utility expenses should not be adjusted out in the Affordability Ratio because of the difficulties with obtaining and verifying this data.

SCE opposes the recommendation by TURN and GRID Alternatives that essential non-utility expenses be adjusted out in the Affordability Ratio metric.\(^{22}\) First, adjusting out essential non-utility expenses would be unworkable from a practical data availability and validity perspective. As the Staff Proposal correctly points out: “To incorporate other sources of data to represent these essential expenses would introduce potential errors stemming from assumptions about household composition, parent working status, and mismatches in data geographic and temporal scale.”\(^ {23}\) The difficulties in obtaining and standardizing data associated with essential non-utility household expenses should not be overlooked. Nor should the Commission overlook the additional challenge of determining what relationship, if any, exists among and between these non-utility expenses given household-specific tradeoffs. Second, while TURN opines that not adjusting out essential non-utility expenses results in values that “understate the absolute fraction of discretionary household budgets that would be allocated to essential utility services,”\(^ {24}\) adjusting out such non-utility expenses does not provide any additional clarity into a relative comparison of affordability when comparing spatial and temporal changes, which is the stated purpose of the affordability framework in the Staff Proposal.\(^ {25}\) In sum, adjusting out non-utility essential costs would render the Affordability Ratio metric more complex and less useful.

3. Several of Cal Advocates’ recommended modifications to the proposed metrics are reasonable and should be considered.

SCE appreciates Cal Advocates’ thoughtful analysis concerning ways to modify the proposed metrics to make them more accurate and suitable for Commission and stakeholder review. Specifically, SCE agrees with Cal Advocates that:

---

\(^{22}\) TURN Opening Comments, pp. 2-7; GRID Alternatives Opening Comments, p. 2.

\(^{23}\) Staff Proposal, p. 18. For example, expenses such as food and childcare can vary substantially from region to region in the state of California, and household budgets allocated to such expenses may include a discretionary element that would be burdensome to isolate from what is deemed “essential.”

\(^{24}\) TURN Opening Comments, p. 7.

\(^{25}\) Staff Proposal, p. 8.
• Household size should be disregarded when calculating the affordability metrics.26 As noted by Cal Advocates, SCE does not collect or maintain data on household size, and therefore would have to rely on external sources to obtain that data and control for its quality, which would add additional complexity without any analytical value and risk inconsistency.27

• Essential service quantities should be calculated per residential connection rather than per capita.28

• Basing energy essential service on a percentage of actual average household usage per climate zone implicitly captures household size without the complexity of calculating affordability for various household sizes.29

• The Commission should not place equal value in a decision-making context on metrics that combine all utility industries.30 Given the Commission’s interest in cumulatively assessing affordability across industries, it would be inappropriate and inaccurate to use assessments of one utility’s affordability as a lever to affect another utility’s rate requests or use proxy bills from utilities it does not regulate as a means to influence the affordability metrics of those utilities it does regulate.31

• Isolating the affordability impacts to individual industries, alongside a cumulative assessment, so that decision-making affecting one industry is not unduly influenced by affordability variations in other industries would complement and

26 Cal Advocates Opening Comments, p. 5.
27 Id., pp. 5-6.
28 Id., p. 1.
29 Id., p. 12.
30 Id., p. 8.
31 Id.
refine the proposed metrics, which currently include the combined effect of several utility industries.32

III.

CONCLUSION

SCE appreciates this opportunity to submit comments on the Staff Proposal and the Commission’s consideration of these comments.

Respectfully submitted,

FADIA RA FEEDIE KHOURY
WILLIAM K. BRIGGS

/s/ William K. Briggs
By: William K. Briggs

Attorneys for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-6735
E-mail: William.K.Briggs@sce.com

September 20, 2019

32 Id., pp. 6-8.
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FOR: NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS PCS, LLC       FOR: CTIA - THE WIRELESS ASSOCIATION

LORI ANNE DOLQUEIST, ESQ                  MARK P. SCHREIBER
ATTORNEY AT LAW                           ATTORNEY
NOSSAMAN LLP                              COOPER, WHITE & COOPER, LLP
50 CALIFORNIA STREET, 34TH FLR            201 CALIFORNIA ST., 17TH FL.
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94111                  SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94111
FOR: CALIFORNIA WATER ASSOCIATION         FOR: CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS OF CALIFORNIA COMPANY

SARAH LEEPER                              ERIK JACOBSON
VP & GENERAL COUNSEL                      DIR - REGULATORY RELATIONS
CALIFORNIA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY         PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
555 MONTGOMERY ST., SUITE 816             77 BEALE ST., MD B23A / PO BOX 770000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94111                  SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94177
FOR: CALIFORNIA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY    FOR: PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

BETH VAUGHAN                              JOHN A. GUTIERREZ
EXE. DIR.                                 DIRECTOR, GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS
CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY CHOICE ASSOCIATION   COMCAST PHONE OF CALIF. (5698)
2300 CLAYTON ROAD, STE. 1150             3055 COMCAST PLACE
CONCORD, CA  94520                        LIVERMORE, CA  94551
FOR: CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY CHOICE          FOR: COMCAST PHONE OF CALIFORNIA, LLC
ASSOCIATION                                

JULIA HATTON                              STEPHEN CAMPBELL
DIR - STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT                PROJECT MGR.
RISING SUN ENERGY CENTER                  GRID ALTERNATIVES
1116 36TH STREET                          1171 OCEAN AVE., SUITE 200
OAKLAND, CA  94608                        OAKLAND, CA  94608
FOR: RISING SUN ENERGY CENTER             FOR: GRID ALTERNATIVE

CARMELITA L. K. MILLER                    LAURA FEINSTEIN
LEGAL COUNSEL                             SR. RESEARCHER
THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE                 PACIFIC INSTITUTE
360 14TH ST., SECOND FL.                  654 13TH ST., PRESERVATION PARK
OAKLAND, CA  94612                        OAKLAND, CA  94612
FOR: THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE            FOR: PACIFIC INSTITUTE FOR STUDIES IN
                                            DEVELOPMENT, ENVIRONMENT, AND SECURITY

MELISSA W. KASNITZ                       STEVEN BRYAN, JR.
LEGAL DIR                                 REGULATORY CONTACT
CENTER FOR ACCESSIBLE TECHNOLOGY          PINNACLES TELEPHONE COMPANY
3075 ADELINE STREET, STE. 220             340 LIVE OAK ROAD
BERKELEY, CA  94703                      PAICINES, CA  95043
FOR: CENTER FOR ACCESSIBLE TECHNOLOGY FOR: PINNACLES TELEPHONE COMPANY

PAUL TOWNSLEY
V.P. - REGULATORY AFFAIRS
CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY
1720 NORTH FIRST STREET
SAN JOSE, CA  95125
FOR: CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY

TIMOTHY GUSTER
VP & GEN. COUNSEL
GREAT OAKS WATER COMPANY
20 GREAT OAKS BLVD., STE 120 / BOX 23490
SAN JOSE, CA  95153-3490
FOR: GREAT OAKS WATER COMPANY

FALLE JENSEN
DIR - REGULATORY AFFAIRS
SAN JOSE WATER COMPANY
374 WEST SANTA CLARA STREET
SAN JOSE, CA  95196-0001
FOR: SAN JOSE WATER COMPANY

YVONNE WOOSTER
MGR - REGULATORY
CALAVERAS TELEPHONE COMPANY
PO BOX 37
COPPEROPOLIS, CA  95228
FOR: CALAVERAS TELEPHONE COMPANY

CHARLIE BORN
MANAGER-STATE GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS
CITIZENS TELECOMMUNICATIONS CO. OF CA
9260 E. STOCKTON BLVD
ELK GROVE, CA  95624
FOR: CITIZENS TELECOMMUNICATIONS CO. OF CA.

BRENDA SHEPARD
CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
VOLCANO TELEPHONE COMPANY
PO BOX 1070
PINE GROVE, CA  95665
FOR: VOLCANO TELEPHONE COMPANY

GREG GIERCZAK
EXECUTIVE DIR - EXTERNAL RELATIONS
SUREWEST TELEPHONE
8150 INDUSTRIAL AVE. / PO BOX 969
ROSEVILLE, CA  95678
FOR: SUREWEST

BETH OLHASSO
AGRICULTURAL ENERGY CONSUMERS ASSOC
925 L STREET, SUITE 800
SACRAMENTO, CA  95814
FOR: AGRICULTURAL ENERGY CONSUMERS ASSOCIATION

CHARLIE BORN
FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS
1201 K STREET, STE. 1980
SACRAMENTO, CA  95814
FOR: FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS OF AMERICA INC.

JACQUELINE R. KINNEY
SR VP & GENERAL COUNSEL
CALIF. CABLE & TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSN
1001 K STREET, 2ND FL.
SACRAMENTO, CA  95814
FOR: CA CABLE & TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION

ROBERT C. COOK, JR.
MGR
FRUITRIDGE VISTA WATER COMPANY
PO BOX 959
SACRAMENTO, CA  95814
FOR: FRUITRIDGE VISTA WATER COMPANY

JEROME F. CANDELARIA
VP & COUNSEL, REGULATORY AFFAIRS
CALIFORNIA CABLE AND TELECOMM. ASSN.
1001 K STREET, 2ND FL.
SACRAMENTO, CA  95814-3832
FOR: CALIFORNIA CABLE AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION (CCTA)

KAREN NOREEN MILLS
SR. ATTORNEY
CALIFORNIA FARM BUREAU FEDERATION
2600 RIVER PLAZA DRIVE
SACRAMENTO, CA  95833
FOR: CALIFORNIA FARM BUREAU FEDERATION

SUSAN LIPPER
SR. MGR. - GOV'T AFFAIRS
T-MOBILE WEST LLC
1755 CREEKSIDE OAKS DRIVE, STE. 190
SACRAMENTO, CA  95833
FOR: T-MOBILE WEST LLC
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ROBERT S. FORTINO  
CEO  
DEL ORO WATER CO., INC.  
DRAWER 5172  
CHICO, CA  95927  
FOR: DEL ORO WATER CO., INC. (ALL DISTRICTS)

WAIHUN YEE  
CONTROLLER  
CAL-ORE TELEPHONE COMPANY  
PO BOX 847  
DORRIS, CA  96023-0847  
FOR: CAL-ORE TELEPHONE COMPANY

JAMES LOWERS  
VP  
THE SISKIYIU TELEPHONE COMPANY  
PO BOX 157  
ETNA, CA  96027-0157  
FOR: THE SISKIYIU TELEPHONE COMPANY

CATHIE ALLEN  
DIR - REGULATORY AFFAIRS  
PACIFICORP  
825 NE MULTNOMAH ST., STE 300  
PORTLAND, OR  97232  
FOR: PACIFICORP

GAIL LONG  
MANAGER, STATE GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS  
HAPPY VALLEY TELEPHONE COMPANY  
PO BOX 1004  
REDMOND, OR  97756  
FOR: HAPPY VALLEY TELEPHONE COMPANY

GAIL LONG  
HORNITOS TELEPHONE COMPANY  
PO BOX 1004  
REDMOND, OR  97756  
FOR: HORNITOS TELEPHONE COMPANY

GAIL LONG  
WINTERHAVEN TELEPHONE COMPANY  
PO BOX 1004  
REDMOND, OR  97756  
FOR: WINTERHAVEN TELEPHONE COMPANY

Information Only

CHUCK CARRATHERS  
FOUNTIER  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

DARREN LEE  
REGULATORY LEGAL SECRETARY  
COOPER, WHITE & COOPER LLP  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

DAVID X. HUANG  
ATTORNEY  
COOPER, WHITE & COOPER, LLP  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000  
FOR: SMALL LECS

G WADE  
SOLANA ENERGY ALLIANCE  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

GREGORY PIERCE  
ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR OF RESEARCH  
LUSKIN CENTER FOR INNOVATION UCLA  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

JAMES ROSS  
RCS, INC.  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY, CA  00000

JANA KOPYCIOK-LANDE  
SR. POLICY ANALYST  
MARIN CLEAN ENERGY  
EMAIL ONLY

JOHN BOWIE  
KEARNS & WEST, INC.  
EMAIL ONLY
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REGULATORY AFFAIRS
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 W. 5TH STREET, GT14D6
LOS ANGELES, CA 90013

MATTHEW LANGER
ATTORNEY
CLEAN POWER ALLIANCE OF SOUTHERN CAL
555 WEST 5TH STREET, 35TH FL
LOS ANGELES, CA 90013

BARBARA BOSWELL
PICO RIVERA INNOVATIVE
6615 PASSONS BLVD. / PO BOX 1016
PICO RIVERA, CA 90660

CASE ADMINISTRATION
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE. / PO BOX 800
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

JENNY DARNEY-LANE
REGULATORY AFFAIRS MGR.
GOLDEN STATE WATER COMPANY
630 E. FOOTHILL BLVD.
SAN DIMAS, CA 91773-9016

ASHLEY L. SALAS
STAFF ATTORNEY
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
1620 5TH AVENUE, SUITE 810
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

COURTNEY COOK
PARALEGAL / OFFICE ADMIN.
UTILITY CONSUMERS’ ACTION NETWORK
3405 KENYON STREET, SUITE 401
SAN DIEGO, CA 92110

JASON ZELLER
UTILITY CONSUMERS’ ACTION NETWORK
3405 KENYON STREET, SUITE 401
SAN DIEGO, CA 92110

BRANDON HENZIE
CENTRAL FILES

REGULATORY CASE MGR.
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 WEST 5TH ST., STE 1400, GT14D6
LOS ANGELES, CA 90013

TED TARDIF
ATTORNEY
CLEAN POWER ALLIANCE OF SOUTHERN CAL
555 WEST 5TH STREET, 35TH FL
LOS ANGELES, CA 90013

JOEL M. REIKER
V.P. REGULATORY AFFAIRS
SAN GABRIEL VALLEY WATER CO.
11142 GARVEY AVENUE / PO BOX 6010
EL MONTE, CA 91733-2425

SHINJINI MENON
DIR - ENERGY POLICY
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
8631 RUSH STREET / PO BOX 800
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

JON PIEROTTI
REGULATORY AFFAIRS MGR.
GOLDEN STATE WATER COMPANY
630 E. FOOTHILL BOULEVARD
SAN DIMAS, CA 91773-9016

CHRISTINE MAILLOUX
ATTORNEY
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
1620 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 810
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

EDWARD LOPEZ
EXECUTIVE DIR
UTILITY CONSUMERS’ ACTION NETWORK
3405 KENYON ST. SUITE 401
SAN DIEGO, CA 92110

MARCIE EVANS
COX COMMUNICATIONS
5887 COPLEY DRIVE, STE. 300
SAN DIEGO, CA 92111

SHEETAL PARR
DIR - PROCUREMENT & OPERS
KING CITY COMMUNITY POWER
8910 UNIVERSITY CENTER LANE, STE. 520
SAN DIEGO, CA 92122
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>City, State, Zip Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michelle Somerville</td>
<td>Regulatory Case Mgr.</td>
<td>San Diego Gas &amp; Electric Company</td>
<td>8330 Century Park Ct., CP32F</td>
<td>San Diego, CA 92123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samantha Pate</td>
<td>Investor Relations</td>
<td>San Diego Gas &amp; Electric Company</td>
<td>8330 Century Park Ct., CP32F</td>
<td>San Diego, CA 92123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheila Lee</td>
<td>Sr. Policy Advisor</td>
<td>San Diego Gas &amp; Electric Company</td>
<td>8335 Century Park Court, CP 12H</td>
<td>San Diego, CA 92123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katie Barrows</td>
<td></td>
<td>Desert Community Energy</td>
<td>73710 Fred Waring Drive, Ste. 200</td>
<td>Palm Desert, CA 92260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbara Boswell</td>
<td>Consultant</td>
<td>Rancho Mirage Energy Authority</td>
<td>69-825 Highway 111</td>
<td>Rancho Mirage, CA 92270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kofi Antobam</td>
<td>Director of Finance</td>
<td>Apple Valley Choice Energy (AVCE)</td>
<td>14955 Dale Evans Parkway</td>
<td>Apple Valley, CA 92307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbara Boswell</td>
<td>Consultant</td>
<td>San Jacinto Power</td>
<td>PO Box 488</td>
<td>San Jacinto, CA 92581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathy Wells</td>
<td>Energy Project Coordinator</td>
<td>Lancaster Choice Energy</td>
<td>44933 Fern Ave.</td>
<td>Lancaster, CA 93534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Pearson</td>
<td>Contract/Compliance Mgr.</td>
<td>Monterey Bay Community Energy</td>
<td>70 Garden Court, Ste. 300</td>
<td>Monterey, CA 93940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Keehn</td>
<td>Mgr. of Energy Reg &amp; Legislative Affairs</td>
<td>Monterey Bay Community Power</td>
<td>70 Garden Court, Suite 300</td>
<td>Monterey, CA 93940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doug Karpa</td>
<td>Peninsular Clean Energy</td>
<td>Monterey Bay Community Energy</td>
<td>2075 Woodside Road</td>
<td>Redwood City, CA 94061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janis Pepper</td>
<td>CEO</td>
<td>Monterey Bay Community Energy</td>
<td>2075 Woodside Road</td>
<td>Redwood City, CA 94061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph F. Wiedman</td>
<td>Dir. - Regulatory &amp; Legislative Affairs</td>
<td>Peninsular Clean Energy Authority</td>
<td>2075 Woodside Road</td>
<td>Redwood City, CA 94061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilana Farmer Mandelbaum</td>
<td>Deputy County Counsel</td>
<td>San Mateo County Counsel's Office</td>
<td>400 County Center, 6th Floor</td>
<td>Redwood City, CA 94063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don Eckert</td>
<td>Dir. of Finance and Administration</td>
<td>Silicon Valley Clean Energy</td>
<td>333 W. El Camino Real, Suite 290</td>
<td>Sunnyvale, CA 94087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilary Staver</td>
<td>Mgr. - Reg. &amp; Legislative Affairs</td>
<td>Silicon Valley Clean Energy</td>
<td>333 W. El Camino Real, Ste. 290</td>
<td>Sunnyvale, CA 94087</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
STEVEN W. FRANK
ATTORNEY
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE STREET, B30A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105
FOR: PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

NORA SHERIFF, ESQ.
ATTORNEY
BUCHALTER, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
55 SECOND STREET, SUITE 1700
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-3493

MARK BERRY
DIRECTOR - REGULATORY
AT&T SERVICES, INC.
430 BUSH STREET, 5TH FL. NO. 21
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108
FOR: AT&T MOBILITY

PETER HAYES
EXEC. DIR. - REGULATORY AFFAIRS
AT&T SERVICES, INC.
430 BUSH STREET, 5TH FL. NO. 3
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108

CATHY A. HONGOLA-BAPTISTA
DIR - CORPORATE COUNSEL
CALIFORNIA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY
555 MONTGOMERY ST., STE. 816
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

DEMETRIO A. MARQUEZ
PARALEGAL IV
CALIFORNIA AMERICAN WATER
555 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 816
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

NICHOLAS A. SUBIAS, ESQ.
DIR - CORP. COUNSEL
CALIFORNIA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY
555 MONTGOMERY ST., STE. 816
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111
FOR: THE "SMALL LECS": CALAVERAS TELEPHONE COMPANY, CAL-ORE TELEPHONE CO., DUCOR TELEPHONE COMPANY, FORESTHILL TELEPHONE CO., HAPPY VALLEY TELEPHONE COMPANY, HORNITOS TELEPHONE COMPANY, KERMAN TELEPHONE CO., PINNACLES TELEPHONE CO., THE PONDEROSA TELEPHONE CO., SIERRA TELEPHONE COMPANY, INC., THE SISKIYOU TELEPHONE COMPANY, VOLCANO TELEPHONE COMPANY, WINTERHAVEN TELEPHONE COMPANY

PATRICK M. ROSVALL
ATTORNEY
COOPER, WHITE & COOPER LLP
201 CALIFORNIA ST., 17TH FL.
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111
FOR: SMALL LECS

SARAH DEYOUNG
EXECUTIVE DIR
CALTEL
50 CALIFORNIA ST., STE. 1500
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111
FOR: SMALL LECS

SARAH J. BANOLA
ATTORNEY
COOPER, WHITE & COOPER LLP
201 CALIFORNIA ST., 17TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

ZEB ZANKEL
ATTORNEY
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLP
505 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 800
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111
FOR: DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

SUZANNE TOLLER
ATTORNEY
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
505 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 800
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-6533

DARREN ROACH
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE STREET / PO BOX 7442, MC B30A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94120
FOR: PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

SARA STECK MYERS
ATTORNEY AT LAW
LAW OFFICES OF SARA STECK MYERS
122 - 28TH AVENUE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MEGAN M. MYERS</td>
<td>CASE COORDINATION</td>
<td>PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY</td>
<td>PO BOX 770000; MC B23A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110 OXFORD STREET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFP TRACKS</td>
<td>WILLIAM NUSBAUM</td>
<td>FOR: CONSUMER FEDERATION OF CA FOUNDATION</td>
<td>1509 SYMPHONY CIRCLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950 TOWER LN, SUITE 2100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BRENTWOOD, CA 94513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOSTER CITY, CA 94404</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBCE REGULATORY</td>
<td>MELISSA BRANDT</td>
<td>EAST BAY COMMUNITY ENERGY</td>
<td>1111 BROADWAY, STE 3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAST BAY COMMUNITY ENERGY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OAKLAND, CA 94607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1111 BROADWAY, 3RD FLOOR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELYSE HUNTER</td>
<td>PAUL GOODMAN</td>
<td>THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE</td>
<td>360 14TH STREET, 2ND FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIR - POLICY &amp; REGULATORY AFFAIRS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OAKLAND, CA 94612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRID ALTERNATIVES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1171 OCEAN AVE., STE. 200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAKLAND, CA 94608</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEPHANIE CHEN</td>
<td>VINHCENT LE</td>
<td>THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE</td>
<td>360 14TH STREET, 2ND FLOOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OAKLAND, CA 94612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360 14TH STREET 2ND FLOOR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAKLAND, CA 94612</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADRIAN SLIPSKI</td>
<td>LAURA MAMEESH</td>
<td>OLIVINE, INC.</td>
<td>2120 UNIVERSITY AVENUE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEGAL FELLOW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BERKELEY, CA 94704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENTER FOR ACCESSIBLE TECHNOLOGY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3075 ADELINE STREET, SUITE 220</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BERKELEY, CA 94703</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICHELLE VIGEN RALSTON</td>
<td>MICHAEL CALLAHAN</td>
<td>MARIN CLEAN ENERGY</td>
<td>1125 TAMALPAIS AVE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMON SPARK CONSULTING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAN RAFAEL, CA 94901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1929 PARKER ST APT A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BERKELEY, CA 94704</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATHANIEL MALCOLM</td>
<td>JEANNE M. SOLE'</td>
<td>SAN JOSE CLEAN ENERGY</td>
<td>200 E. SANTA CLARA ST., 14TH FL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLICY COUNSEL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAN JOSE, CA 95113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARIN CLEAN ENERGY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1125 TAMALPAIS AVENUE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAN RAFAEL, CA 94901</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICHELLE VIGEN</td>
<td>ALEXIA RETALLACK</td>
<td>PIONEER COMMUNITY ENERGY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SENIOR POLICY MGR.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALIF. EFFICIENCY + DEMAND MGMT COUNCIL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1535 FARMERS LANE, SUITE 312  
SANTA ROSA, CA  95405

JENINE WINDESHAUSEN  
PLACER COUNTY TREASURER - TAX COLLECTOR  
PIONEER COMMUNITY ENERGY  
2976 RICHARDSON DR.  
AUBURN, CA  95603

MITCH SEARS  
INTERIM GENERAL MGR.  
VALLEY CLEAN ENERGY ALLIANCE  
23 RUSSELL BLVD.  
DAVIS, CA  95616

CAROLYN M. KEHREIN  
PRINCIPAL  
ENERGY MANAGEMENT SERVICES  
2602 CELEBRATION WAY  
WOODLAND, CA  95776

AMY WARSHAUER  
MGR - GOV'T & EXTERNAL AFFAIRS  
FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS  
1201 K STREET, SUITE 1980  
SACRAMENTO, CA  95814

DANIELLE BLACET-HYDEN  
CA MUNICIPAL UTILITIES ASSOCIATION  
915 L ST., SUITE 1460  
SACRAMENTO, CA  95814

ELIZABETH BOJORQUEZ  
LEGISLATIVE ANALYST  
CA CABLE & TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASS'N  
1001 K STREET, 2ND FLOOR  
SACRAMENTO, CA  95814

JONATHAN YOUNG  
CALIF. MUNICIPAL UTILITIES ASSOCIATION  
915 L STREET, STE. 1460  
SACRAMENTO, CA  95814

LYNN MARSHALL  
CONSULTANT  
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION  
1516 9TH STREET, MS-20  
SACRAMENTO, CA  95814

RAUL R. DELAROSA  
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
ENERGY EFFICIENCY BRANCH  
300 Capitol Mall  
Sacramento, CA  95814

REGULATORY CLERK  
BRAUN BLAISING SMITH WYNNE, PC  
915 L STREET, STE. 1480  
SACRAMENTO, CA  95814

JOY MASTACHE  
SR. ATTORNEY - OFF. OF GEN. COUNSEL  
SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT  
6301 S STREET, MS A311  
SACRAMENTO, CA  95817

BRIDGET SIEREN-SMITH  
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
DEMAND RESPONSE, CUSTOMER GENERATION, AN  
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